

# Appendix C

Executive Summary of the Santa Monica Bay Receiving Waters Study  
by Southern California Coastal Waters Research Project

# **Study of the Impact of Stormwater Discharge on the Beneficial Uses of Santa Monica Bay**

## **Executive Summary July 8, 1999**

Prepared for:

Los Angeles County Department  
of Public Works  
Alhambra, California

Submitted by:

Steven Bay  
Southern California Coastal Water Research Project

Burton H. Jones  
Hancock Institute for Marine Studies  
University of Southern California

Kenneth Schiff  
Southern California Coastal Water Research Project

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to acknowledge the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works and the Natural Resources Defense Council who helped design the workplan, guide the study elements, and evaluate the results. We also acknowledge the expertise in sample collection and laboratory analysis provided by the following organizations: City of Los Angeles (R/V *Le Mer* and R/V *Marine Surveyor*), Southern California Ocean Studies Consortium (R/V *Sea Watch*), University of California Los Angeles (R/V *Sea World*), CRG Laboratories, Inc. (analytical chemistry), and MEC Analytical Systems, Inc. (benthic taxonomy). This project was funded by the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, the University of Southern California Sea Grant, and the Southern California Coastal Water Research Project. The conclusions presented in this document are the views of the authors and do not necessarily represent positions of the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, the Natural Resources Defense Council, or other collaborating agencies.

## INTRODUCTION

**Stormwater runoff is widely believed to be one of the largest sources of contaminants to coastal waters.**

Urban stormwater runoff is now regarded as one of the largest sources of pollution to the coastal waters of the United States. In southern California, point source control and advanced sewage treatment have greatly reduced the emissions of contaminants from sewage treatment plant and industrial discharges into the ocean. As a consequence, mass emissions from stormwater runoff now constitute a much larger portion of the constituent inputs to receiving waters and may represent the dominant source of some contaminants such as lead and zinc.

While stormwater runoff can produce impacts in both freshwater and seawater environments, effects on the ocean are of greatest concern in urban southern California. Our coastal waters provide many beneficial uses, including recreation, aesthetic enjoyment, fishing, marine habitat, fish reproduction, industrial water supply, and navigation. Ocean-dependent activities contribute approximately \$9 billion annually to the economies of coastal communities in southern California.

**Current water quality monitoring programs do not assess the effects of stormwater runoff on the environment.**

Substantial resources are spent monitoring the chemical constituents in stormwater runoff, yet little is known about the effects of these inputs once they enter the ocean. Of greatest concern to the public are whether impairments are occurring to the beneficial uses that relate to human health (safety of swimming and seafood consumption) or ecosystem health (presence of a natural balance of species). Stormwater discharge has the potential to impair these beneficial uses through 1) contamination of recreational waters or seafood with disease-causing microbes, 2) aesthetic degradation from trash and reduced water clarity, and 3) ecosystem degradation from contaminants or other stormwater constituents.

Understanding the effects of stormwater on beneficial uses is essential. Information about the extent and type of adverse impacts is useful to guide and refine management actions to improve water quality. The monitoring programs of various agencies collect information that is useful for assessing some beneficial use impairments, primarily those related to human health. For example, public health and sanitation agencies regularly conduct shoreline microbiological monitoring near storm drain discharges, which indicates impacts to swimming and shellfish consumption. However, very little information is available to assess the impacts of urban stormwater on ecosystem health. Studies of

impacts to freshwater systems (particularly in the west) are rare; impacts to the coastal ocean have never been assessed.

**This study is one of the first to assess stormwater impacts on the marine ecosystem.**

This report summarizes a three-year study, funded by the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, Southern California Coastal Water Research Project (SCCWRP), and University of Southern California Sea (USC) Grant Program, to assess the impacts of urban stormwater runoff to the receiving waters of Santa Monica Bay. The goal of this study was to examine impacts that were relevant to ecosystem health, rather than impacts related to human health or recreation issues. This effort was conducted by an interdisciplinary team of scientists from SCCWRP, the University of Southern California, and the University of California at Santa Barbara.


**This study examined plume characteristics, water column and seafloor biology.**

The Santa Monica Bay Receiving Waters Study incorporated four design elements. The first element used physical and optical oceanographic instruments to characterize the size, composition, and mixing of stormwater plumes, providing information on the impacts to beneficial uses that are associated with water clarity. The second element used toxicity tests to assess the biological effects of runoff on water column biota and to identify the responsible toxicants. The third element examined seafloor biota and chemistry in order to assess the long-term effects of storm-discharged particles with their associated contaminants.

**Comparisons between Ballona and Malibu Creeks evaluated effects of different watershed types.**

The fourth element of the study design was a comparison of stormwater impacts from different watershed types. Land use patterns and development within a watershed are thought to influence the composition and quantity of stormwater runoff. The influence of watershed type was investigated by comparing stormwater impacts in the receiving water offshore of the highly urbanized Ballona Creek watershed with impacts in the receiving water offshore of the less-urbanized Malibu Creek watershed (Figure 1).

Sampling and analyses were conducted over three wet seasons (1995/96 to 1997/98). This document provides a summary of the study and focuses on major concepts and important findings. For the detailed results and raw data, we encourage readers to consult the Annual Progress Reports available through USC Sea Grant.



**FIGURE 1. Locations of Ballona Creek and Malibu Creek sub-watersheds and the offshore sampling stations for sediment measurement. Other portions of the Santa Monica Bay watershed are shown in white.**

## STORMWATER PLUME CHARACTERIZATION

The impact of stormwater on the coastal ocean is determined by the composition of the stormwater and the dynamics (mixing, transport, and persistence) of the stormwater plume once it enters the coastal ocean. These dynamics influence the location, duration, and magnitude of impacts from stormwater.

The research team mapped the three-dimensional distribution of the stormwater plumes resulting from several winter storm events during 1996-1998. Mapping was performed using a towyo system, which carried sensors to measure temperature, salinity, light transmission (turbidity), chlorophyll fluorescence (plant biomass), and ambient visible light. The towyo was towed through the water in a vertical zigzag pattern that enabled us to map the horizontal and vertical distributions of the measured parameters. In addition, surface water was pumped to similar sensors on the boat so that the distribution of these parameters at the water's surface could be mapped. Maps were constructed for two regions of Santa Monica Bay, the receiving waters offshore of Ballona Creek and those offshore of Malibu Creek.

**The low salinity and high turbidity of stormwater provide markers that allow plumes to be mapped in the ocean.**

The characteristics of stormwater discharged into Santa Monica Bay from the two watersheds were similar in several respects. The most obvious and important physical characteristic was that the stormwater, being primarily composed of freshwater, had very little salinity. This low salinity enabled us to trace the stormwater plume in the ocean and differentiate it from the ambient seawater, which was not directly influenced by stormwater discharge. The stormwater also contained high concentrations of suspended particulate material, derived from various sources such as land erosion, street dust, aerial deposition, and litter. Suspended particulate material increased the turbidity of water by scattering and absorbing light. The turbidity and salinity together allowed the differentiation of seawater influenced by stormwater discharge from seawater containing freshwater from direct rainfall input.

Understanding the dispersion and fate of stormwater plumes is a complex task. The distribution of dissolved components such as nutrients and small particles is dependent upon the amount of rainfall, the coastal currents, and the winds, which can drive currents and cause vertical mixing (Figure 2). Large stormwater particles often have a different fate; they settle out of the low salinity plume, become incorporated into bottom sediments, and may be redistributed later by wave resuspension and transport. As

the plume disperses, the components of stormwater mix with other sources of suspended particles, nutrients, and freshwater in the receiving water. These sources include bottom resuspension, phytoplankton growth, and wastewater discharge.

**The stormwater plume was most concentrated in the surface layer.**

Stormwater plumes usually formed relatively thin layers at the surface of the ocean that are 2-10 m deep (Figure 3). The depth of penetration increased with time as winds mixed the upper layer vertically. The horizontal scales of the plumes studied in Santa Monica Bay were variable, with plumes extending from 1 to 6 miles cross-shelf (offshore) for storms of 1- to 2-year frequencies (0.8 to 4 in. of rainfall). During the February 19-21, 1996 storm (4 in. of rainfall), the plume spread approximately 4 miles offshore of Ballona Creek (Figure 4).

The speed and direction of coastal currents determine the cross-shelf scale of the plume. The Coriolis force (an apparent force that acts on oceans and lakes) also has an influence on the distribution of stormwater plumes. This force is due to the rotation of the earth and its motion through space, resulting in a tendency for currents to turn toward the right in the Northern Hemisphere. If the plume is carried to the north when it enters the ocean, it will be more likely to remain near the coast due to the influence of the Coriolis force.

The distribution of stormwater plumes along the coast depended upon the tidal variations in the currents, the presence of additional runoff sources, and the amount of runoff. Longshore distances of up to 6 miles were measured for plumes within Santa Monica Bay.

**Suspended stormwater particles reduced surface water clarity.**


Spatial gradients in the dissolved and particulate components of the plume occurred as it was diluted through mixing with the receiving water. Although larger stormwater particles tended to settle out from the plume rapidly, smaller, lighter particles remained in suspension near the surface (Figures 3 and 4), where they can reduce the amount of light available for photosynthesis by marine plants. Measures of primary production were not part of this study, so adverse effects on phytoplankton in Santa Monica Bay resulting from turbid stormwater plumes were not determined.




**Stormwater plumes  
persisted for several  
days after a storm.**

The duration of stormwater plumes depends upon the rate of plume dispersion and particle sinking. Stormwater plumes were observed to persist in Santa Monica Bay for at least three days, even for the smallest storm sampled (0.8 in. rainfall). The maximum duration of stormwater plumes could not be assessed in this study because measurements did not extend more than three days after a storm.


High concentrations of the plant pigment chlorophyll were present in the surface layer during some storm events, indicating the presence of increased phytoplankton populations. Phytoplankton growth may have been stimulated by stormwater discharge due to the addition of nutrients to the surface layer, where light is readily available. Dense patches of phytoplankton were observed off of Malibu Creek on the boundary of stormwater plumes 1-2 days after rain events. Off of Ballona Creek, we observed increased phytoplankton in the plume even while a large proportion of suspended particulate material was still present in the surface water. The ecological effects of these changes in phytoplankton density were not determined in this study.



**FIGURE2.** A three-dimensional representation of the dispersion of the dissolved and particulate Components in surface runoff and municipal (POTW) wastewater effluent.



**FIGURE 3.** Vertical cross-shelf sections of the Ballona Creek discharge plume following a storm event in February, 1996. The maps were generated using a towyo system, which carried sensors for temperature, salinity, turbidity (beam attenuation), and plant biomass (chlorophyll fluorescence). The zigzag pattern on the temperature section indicates the path of the towyo system. The stormwater plume is indicated by water with a salinity less than 33.0 practical salinity units (psu).



**FIGURE 4.** Near surface map of the February, 1996 stormwater plume from a 2-year storm off of Ballona Creek. The plume (surface water with a salinity less than 33.0 psu) extended approximately 4 miles offshore.

## **WATER COLUMN BIOLOGY**

The initial and most concentrated exposure to stormwater occurs in the upper few meters of the water column. A diversity of organisms occupies this habitat, ranging from mobile fish and mammals to drifting microscopic plants and animals (plankton). Plankton have a relatively high potential to be affected by stormwater toxicants because they have a limited ability to avoid the plume and are often more sensitive to contaminants than larger animals. Changes in the abundance and type of plankton present can have important consequences for the marine ecosystem. This group of organisms constitutes the base of the food chain for most marine life, so changes in plankton numbers may affect populations of other species. The larvae of many fish and other animals such as sea urchins, clams, and shrimp occur in the plankton, providing the potential for diminished reproductive success if their survival is reduced by water column toxicity.

**Water column effects were measured using toxicity tests.**

Toxicity tests were used to determine whether stormwater plumes contained harmful concentrations of dissolved constituents. Surface water samples were collected offshore of the two study sites in conjunction with measurements of the plume characteristics so that the data could be related to the concentration of the stormwater discharge plume. Samples of stormwater collected from Ballona Creek were also measured for comparison. The toxicity tests used sensitive stages of marine species that occur in southern California. Most samples were measured using the sea urchin fertilization test, in which the effect of the sample on the ability of sea urchin sperm to fertilize eggs is measured. Sea urchin sperm are highly sensitive to some types of dissolved metals. The fertilization test is appropriate for stormwater monitoring because it is rapid (40 min exposure) and uses an organism which spends a portion of its life cycle in the water column of Santa Monica Bay. All tests were adjusted to the appropriate salinity prior to exposure so only the effect of chemical constituents were evaluated.

**Virtually every sample of Ballona Creek stormwater tested was toxic.**

Undiluted samples of urban stormwater collected from drainage channels (before discharge into the ocean) usually contained toxic concentrations of constituents. Toxicity was detected in virtually every sample obtained from Ballona Creek and this toxicity was often present even after the sample was diluted 10-fold in the laboratory. The results indicated that even though a large portion of the constituents present in stormwater may be bound to particles, the dissolved concentrations of some materials are high enough to cause toxicity. Prior research by SCCWRP and

others has detected toxicity in stormwater from other watersheds in Los Angeles, Orange, and San Diego Counties.

**The first storms of the year produced the most toxic stormwater.**

The results showed that time of year was an important variable influencing stormwater toxicity (Figure 5). Samples of Ballona Creek stormwater, obtained from the first storm of the season, were between two and ten times more toxic than samples from later storms. These data indicated that the first storms of the year provide the most concentrated inputs of toxicants to the environment.

Toxicity was frequently detected in surface water within the stormwater plume offshore of Ballona Creek, indicating that the initial dilution of stormwater discharge from this watershed was not sufficient to reduce the concentrations of stormwater toxicants below levels that are harmful to marine organisms. The magnitude of toxicity was greatest in the portion of the plume nearest the mouth of Ballona Creek (Figure 6), where the highest concentrations of stormwater were present. Within the plumes studied, toxicity was usually present whenever stormwater concentrations above 10% were present. The duration of toxicity in surface waters was not specifically addressed in this study, but can be expected to be determined by the rate of plume dispersion. In this study, toxicity was detected in surface water near the mouth of Ballona Creek 2 days after a storm event.

**Toxic portions of the stormwater plume were variable in size, extending from ¼ to 2 miles offshore of Ballona Creek.**

The spatial extent of surface water toxicity varied between storms, and was influenced by the amount of storm flow, the degree of toxicity of the stormwater, and the amount of mixing that occurred upon discharge. The greatest offshore extent of toxicity was measured following a storm on February 21, 1996, a two-year event, when toxicity was detected 2 miles offshore of Ballona Creek. For other storms, the toxic portion of the plume extended ¼-1 mile offshore. The distribution of toxicity along the shoreline was not determined in this study. The boundaries of stormwater plumes can be described using a number of parameters (*i.e.*, salinity, turbidity, and toxicity) each with different thresholds of detection. Because a relatively high concentration of stormwater is needed to produce toxicity, the area of potential biological impact within a plume will be smaller than the region defined by physical characteristics such as salinity (Figure 6).

An unexpected result of this study was the detection of toxicity in receiving waters that appeared to be due to sources other than urban runoff. An average of 53% of the surface water samples

**Surface water toxicity caused by unidentified sources was frequently encountered during dry weather.**

collected offshore of Ballona and Malibu Creeks during periods of dry weather were found to be toxic. The location of the toxic samples was variable and there was no relationship between toxicity and the amount of freshwater in the samples, indicating that dry weather urban runoff was not the cause. Additional sources of receiving water toxicity were also indicated during the wet weather sampling, as some water samples were more toxic than could be accounted for by the amount of stormwater present.


The dry weather toxicity results suggest that factors other than stormwater discharge have a major influence on surface water quality in Santa Monica Bay. While the cause of dry weather toxicity was not determined, its frequent detection indicates that impaired surface water quality in Santa Monica Bay extends beyond the spatial and seasonal boundaries associated with stormwater discharge. Potential sources of dry weather toxicity include the deposition of contaminants from the atmosphere, biological events such as red tides, and inputs from boating activities.

Dissolved metals in stormwater were identified as important contributors to impaired water quality in Ballona Creek stormwater plumes. This conclusion was the result of experiments that combined chemical treatments designed to remove specific types of constituents in water samples with sea urchin toxicity tests, a process known as Toxicity Identification Evaluation (TIE). The toxicity of Ballona Creek stormwater and receiving water samples was usually eliminated when treatments were applied that neutralized toxic trace metals by complexation (Figure 7). Chemical analyses confirmed that dissolved concentrations of zinc, and occasionally copper, were at toxic levels in undiluted stormwater. The dissolved concentrations of other metals were below toxic levels for the sea urchin test. Measurements of receiving water also detected elevated concentrations of zinc (but not copper) in the stormwater plume offshore of Ballona Creek.

**Zinc was the most important toxic constituent identified in stormwater. Copper and other unidentified constituents may also be responsible for some of the toxicity measured.**


Chemical analyses were unable to attribute all of the toxicity measured to zinc and copper, indicating that additional constituents may contribute to the toxicity of stormwater discharged into Santa Monica Bay. The measured concentrations of zinc and copper in Ballona Creek stormwater were estimated to account for only 5-44% of the observed toxicity. Zinc concentrations in the toxic portion of the discharge plume were usually below levels shown to cause toxicity in the laboratory. The unaccounted-for toxicity may be due to synergistic interactions between toxic metals, variability in the chemical analyses, or the influence of other toxic chemicals,

such as pesticides. Additional research is needed before these alternatives can be evaluated. TIE studies have not been completed for other stormwater discharges into the Bay, so we do not know if the pattern demonstrated for Ballona Creek is representative of other sites.




**FIGURE 5.** Seasonal changes in the toxicity of Ballona Creek stormwater over two storm seasons. Toxicity was measured using the sea urchin fertilization test (Toxic Units = 100/EC50). The greatest toxicity was observed in stormwater obtained from the first storm of each year.





**FIGURE 6.** Map of surface layer toxicity (effect on sea urchin fertilization) from Ballona Creek stormwater discharge following a 2-year storm in December, 1996 (3.1 in. rainfall). Expected toxicity was calculated from measurements of salinity (indicates concentration of stormwater) and the concentration dose-response curve for the effects of stormwater on sea urchin fertilization. The greatest toxicity (lowest fertilization percentage) was present closest to the point of discharge. The area of toxicity was smaller than the physical extent of the plume, as indicated by the solid line showing a salinity of 33 psu. This figure illustrates the relative size of the toxic portion of the plume for a single storm, but does not represent the largest plume studied. Toxic portions of the plume were found to extend greater and smaller distances offshore for other storms.



**FIGURE 7. Effect of toxicity identification evaluation treatments on the toxicity of Ballona Creek stormwater and two samples of surface water collected within the Ballona Creek discharge plume. Complexation of metals by addition of EDTA usually eliminated toxicity, as shown by the large increase in sea urchin fertilization above the untreated (baseline) value. Other treatments, removal of particles by filtration and removal of organic compounds, were of limited effectiveness. Similar results were found for other samples of stormwater and surface water.**

## SEAFLOOR BIOLOGY

**The deposition of stormwater particles influences the physical and chemical characteristics of the seafloor.**

Much of the natural diversity and many of the commercially important species in the ocean occur on the seafloor. Clams and shrimp live in this environment, as well as worms and starfish, all of which serve as food for fish. This is also the location where stormwater particles, and associated contaminants, eventually settle. Unlike the water column, where a stormwater plume eventually mixes and disperses, the sediments on the seafloor can accumulate runoff inputs over an entire storm, over several storms, or over several seasons. These inputs can alter the seafloor biology by either changing the habitat, such as altering sediment grain size, or by the build-up of pollutants. The potential for impacts to seafloor organisms is great because they are not mobile and are therefore subjected to the accumulated stormwater inputs for long periods of time. Typically, these seafloor organisms are relatively sensitive and changes to the number or types of organisms may result in changes to fish populations.

We estimated impacts of stormwater runoff discharges on the seafloor by collecting samples from the ocean bottom between one and two weeks following large storm events, after the stormwater plumes had dispersed and particles had time to settle, and then again during dry weather. Seafloor samples were collected directly offshore of Ballona and Malibu Creeks at 75 ft. depth in the heart of the stormwater plumes, along intervals upcoast and downcoast representing gradients of plume impact, and then outside the area of the plume. The top 2 cm (< 1 inch) of these seafloor samples, which represented the most recent seafloor accumulations, were collected for contaminant analysis and toxicity testing. Sediment samples were analyzed for contaminants including trace metals, chlorinated hydrocarbons (DDTs and PCBs), and petroleum hydrocarbons (PAHs). The toxicity tests included survival of crustaceans (an amphipod) and sea urchins, fertilization success and development of sea urchin embryos, and bioaccumulation of contaminants from seafloor mud in adult sea urchins. A second sediment sample was collected, sieved through a fine mesh screen, and the organisms were enumerated to determine the abundance and diversity of the native seafloor fauna.

**An increase in sediment constituents was present on the seafloor offshore Ballona Creek.**

Alterations to the seafloor habitat and sediment constituent concentrations had occurred offshore of the Ballona Creek watershed (Table 1). The sediments offshore of Malibu Creek generally had higher concentrations of naturally abundant constituents including fine-grained particles, organic carbon, and

trace metals such as chromium. In contrast, the sediments offshore of Ballona Creek generally had higher concentrations of urban contaminants including common stormwater constituents such as lead and zinc, as well as other rarely detected constituents in routine stormwater monitoring programs, such as DDTs, PCBs, and PAHs. Moreover, sediments offshore of Ballona Creek showed evidence of stormwater impacts over a large area. Concentrations of copper, lead, zinc, DDTs, PCBs, and PAHs were highest directly offshore of the creek mouth and then decreased in both the upcoast and downcoast directions at distances up to 3 miles away (Figure 8). The increased sediment contamination was also observed more than 1 mile offshore, where water depths reached over 100 feet.

Biological communities offshore of Ballona Creek were similar to those offshore of Malibu Creek (Table 2). Both areas had comparable abundance and similar species composition. Seventeen of the 19 most commonly found taxa offshore of Ballona Creek were present offshore of Malibu Creek, and both watersheds had a low abundance of so-called “pollution indicator” organisms. Both areas had healthy benthic communities, as measured by the Benthic Response Index, which is a tool for assessing the relative importance of pollution indicator species at a site. Species richness and diversity were statistically higher near Malibu Creek than Ballona Creek.

Biological communities offshore of Ballona and Malibu Creeks were also similar to background reference conditions established in previous studies of southern California (Table 2). The mean abundance, mean number of taxa per sample, and mean diversity at the creek sites were comparable to reference sites located in waters of similar depth, but distant from river and creek mouths. The present study was limited to the area offshore of the Ballona Creek jetty; previous studies by other scientists have shown impacts to benthic communities and the presence of pollution indicator organisms inside of the jetty (adjacent to Marina del Rey).

The seafloor biology results were consistent with the results from sediment toxicity tests. Seafloor sediments offshore of Ballona Creek did not kill amphipods or impair the fertilization success or normal embryo development of sea urchins. However, seafloor sediments were found to be a potential source of contaminants that bioaccumulate in seafloor organisms such as adult sea urchins. Concentrations of lead, DDTs, and PCBs were three to ten times higher in sea urchins exposed to sediments

collected offshore of Ballona Creek than in sea urchins living on sediments from our reference location. While the effect of this bioaccumulation on the sea urchin is not known, it does represent a mechanism by which sediment-associated pollutants can enter the food chain and biomagnify within fish.

**The fate of most  
stormwater  
constituents is  
unknown.**


One significant finding of this study was that the fate of most stormwater constituents discharged to Santa Monica Bay is unknown. Although we documented the accumulation of contaminants on the seafloor offshore of Ballona Creek, these amounts were not permanent and represent only a fraction of the total mass emissions discharged. Further, reductions in constituent concentrations were observed at some locations that may have resulted from the resuspension and transport of sediments by waves and currents. Until the location where this material eventually settles is known, we cannot be certain that we have examined the seafloor areas having the greatest influence from stormwater or dry weather discharges. An additional concern is that constituents from other sources may have similar transport and fate mechanisms, producing enhanced impacts from the cumulative effects of multiple sources.

**TABLE 1. Average concentrations of sediment constituents offshore (75 ft. depth) of creek mouths in Santa Monica Bay following storm events between 1995 and 1997. Boxed numbers indicate significantly higher concentrations. Sediment offshore of the less urbanized watershed (Malibu Creek) had higher levels of naturally occurring constituents such as aluminum and iron. Higher concentrations of anthropogenic constituents such as lead and PAHs were present offshore of the more urbanized watershed (Ballona Creek).**

		Sediment Concentration	
		Ballona Ck (n=8)	Malibu Ck (n=7)
<b>Fines</b>	% dry	31.6	<b>53.1</b>
<b>TOC</b>	% dry	0.594	<b>0.963</b>
<b>Aluminum</b>	µg/dry g	11492	<b>17280</b>
<b>Arsenic</b>	µg /dry g	5.1	5.6
<b>Cadmium</b>	µg /dry g	0.5	0.7
<b>Chromium</b>	µg /dry g	40.7	<b>52.6</b>
<b>Copper</b>	µg /dry g	12	13
<b>Iron</b>	µg /dry g	14997	<b>21720</b>
<b>Lead</b>	µg /dry g	<b>26.4</b>	10.3
<b>Mercury</b>	µg /dry g	<b>0.18</b>	0.08
<b>Nickel</b>	µg /dry g	14.29	<b>27.76</b>
<b>Silver</b>	µg /dry g	<b>0.95</b>	0.31
<b>Zinc</b>	µg /dry g	54	56
<b>Total DDTs</b>	ng/dry g	<b>25.6</b>	15.5
<b>Total PCBs</b>	ng/dry g	<b>21.5</b>	3.0
<b>Total PAHs</b>	ng/dry g	<b>240.6</b>	56.2

**TABLE 2. Biological community parameters offshore of a highly urbanized watershed (Ballona Creek), a less urbanized watershed (Malibu Creek), and other reference areas in near-coastal waters of southern California at similar depths (30 to 75 feet). Values are the mean ( $\pm$ 95% confidence limits).**

	<b>Ballona</b> (n=6)	<b>Malibu</b> (n=5)	<b>Reference</b> (n=29)
<b>Abundance</b> (No. organisms/ 0.1 m <sup>2</sup> )	238 ( $\pm$ 51)	316 ( $\pm$ 55)	276 ( $\pm$ 61)
<b>No. Species</b> (No. taxa/ 0.1 m <sup>2</sup> )	75 ( $\pm$ 6)	91 ( $\pm$ 8)	71 ( $\pm$ 9)
<b>Diversity</b> (Shannon-Wiener H')	1.65 ( $\pm$ 0.02)	1.73 ( $\pm$ 0.04)	1.55 ( $\pm$ 0.15)
<b>Benthic Response Index</b> (BRI units)	24.0 ( $\pm$ 1.7)	30.5 ( $\pm$ 0.7)	3.0 – 30.6



**FIGURE 8.** Grain size and contaminant concentrations in surface sediments across the gradient of stormwater influence offshore of Ballona Creek. Sampling stations were located 1.5 miles offshore (25 m depth) and at various distances upcoast or downcoast of the creek. Each value represents the mean (+95% confidence interval) of eight samples, each collected after a storm event. The influence of stormwater particle deposition is shown by the elevated values directly offshore of Ballona Creek.



## EFFECTS OF WATERSHED TYPE ON BENEFICIAL USES

**Different impacts to Santa Monica Bay were produced by an urbanized and an unurbanized watershed.**

The comparison of receiving water impacts from different watersheds is a powerful tool to distinguish between natural and man-made effects. Although the Ballona Creek and Malibu Creek watersheds are similar in size and discharge into the same body of water (Santa Monica Bay), they differ in their degree of urbanization (Figure 1). The measurement of similar parameters in each receiving water area provides the information needed to distinguish between natural processes and impairment due to man-made factors. This approach also identifies which monitoring methods are most useful for detecting man-made impacts.

The characteristics and impacts of stormwater from the Ballona Creek and Malibu Creek watersheds were found to differ in a number of respects (Table 3). The impacts observed were the result of the interaction of three key factors: land use, flow characteristics, and receiving water conditions. Receiving water impacts were less near Malibu Creek and were related to the discharge of less toxic stormwater and lower peak flows.

**TABLE 3. Characteristics of a highly urbanized watershed (Ballona Creek) and a less urbanized watershed (Malibu Creek) adjacent to Santa Monica Bay, California.**

	<b>Ballona Creek</b>	<b>Malibu Creek</b>
<b>Watershed Characteristics</b>	The largest watershed draining to Santa Monica Bay, 83% of its 130 square miles is developed. The principal land use is residential.	Similar in size to Ballona Creek (110 square miles), 88% of this watershed is undeveloped.
<b>Flow Characteristics</b>	The largely impermeable surface area (41% overall) and concrete channel drainage system results in rapid changes in flow following rainfall. Peak flows are relatively high and of shorter duration compared to other areas.	More permeable surface area (96% overall) absorbs early season rainfall and increases lag time between rainfall and peak flow. Discharges have relatively lower peak flows but duration can be days longer than concrete channelized systems. Discharge into Malibu Lagoon may reduce flows and particle loads to ocean.
<b>Plume Characteristics</b>	The stormwater plume in both areas consisted of a thin buoyant layer of low salinity water floating at the surface. The dissolved and particulate components of stormwater were most concentrated in the upper 2 m of the water column. Plumes extended up to 6 miles offshore and were widely distributed along the shore.	
	Higher flows and less mixing produced well-defined plumes that contained higher concentrations of stormwater near Ballona Creek.	Lower flows, more mixing, and discharges from adjacent canyons resulted in more complex and ill-defined plume boundaries near Malibu Creek.
<b>Debris</b>	Floating debris was often concentrated near the margins of the plume and contained many items of man-made origin, such as plastic.	Floating debris was dominated by organic materials of natural origin, such as twigs and charred wood.

**TABLE 3. Continued.**

	<b>Ballona Creek</b>	<b>Malibu Creek</b>
<b>Water Clarity</b>	Less mixing of stormwater usually produced larger areas of reduced water clarity.	Stormwater inputs were often more turbid, but lower flows and greater dilution near the mouth resulted in better clarity.
<b>Stormwater Toxicity</b>	Samples from the creek were always toxic to sea urchins. Concentrations higher than 10% stormwater usually produced adverse effects in laboratory tests.	Samples were less toxic than Ballona Creek stormwater and occasionally nontoxic. High concentrations (>25%) usually needed to produce toxicity.
<b>Receiving Water Toxicity</b>	Surface water in most concentrated portion of plume was often toxic to sea urchins. Toxicity was detected in receiving waters up to 2 miles from discharge.	Toxicity in water column was rarely present and was not related to plume concentration.
<b>Cause of Toxicity</b>	Zinc is responsible for a portion of the stormwater toxicity. The influence of pesticides and other organics is uncertain.	Metals are implicated but have not been confirmed as important toxicants.
<b>Seafloor Habitat</b>	Sediments were higher in urban stormwater associated contaminants, such as lead and zinc.	Higher concentrations of constituents were derived from natural sources, such as fine sediments and organic carbon.
<b>Sediment Toxicity</b>	Changes in sediment toxicity were minor and not related to stormwater discharges.	
<b>Seafloor Biological Communities</b>	Biological communities were similar among Malibu Creek, Ballona Creek, and background reference sites.	

## RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE STUDIES

The Santa Monica Bay receiving waters study produced the first integrated assessment of impacts from stormwater discharges into the Bay. The presence of well-developed plumes containing toxic materials demonstrates the need for continued studies of the impacts from urban stormwater runoff in Santa Monica Bay and elsewhere. Additional information regarding the sources, characteristics, and extent of the receiving water impacts should be determined in order to refine management actions.

A high priority should be placed upon locating sources of toxicity and contamination within the Ballona Creek watershed. Identification of the land uses or regions of the watershed that contribute most to the impacts will enable management actions to be targeted where they will have the greatest beneficial impact. Source identification studies should include sampling of systems tributary to Ballona Creek for measurement of toxicity and chemical constituents.

Additional receiving water studies are recommended for Santa Monica Bay to provide a more complete understanding of the nature and magnitude of stormwater impacts. Future studies should include constituents of concern that were not emphasized in this study, such as bacteria, nutrients, pesticides, and trash. These constituents should be incorporated into studies of plume persistence, cause of toxicity, and constituent fate.

**Information on the duration, size, and cause of adverse impacts is needed to identify appropriate stormwater management actions.**

Plume persistence information is needed to estimate the duration of exposure of: 1) swimmers to bacteria and 2) marine life to stormwater toxicants and nutrients. Improved information on plume persistence can be obtained by the use of moored sensors in the discharge area in combination with data from remote sensing instruments (e.g., satellites). A goal of these studies should be to develop plume dilution and/or tracking models of plume duration and magnitude. This information is valuable because different management responses may be appropriate for stormwater discharges that produce short- versus long-lived impacts.

**A suite of species should be used to identify toxicants in stormwater.**

Toxicity testing using multiple marine species is also needed to provide a more complete assessment of the causes of toxicity in stormwater discharged into Santa Monica Bay. Identification of zinc and copper as contaminants of concern was based primarily on studies with a single species (sea urchin). Because different species vary in their sensitivity to contaminants, tests with multiple species

are needed to determine if other contaminants are present at toxic concentrations. Tests with crustaceans (e.g., shrimp) are especially recommended as they are likely to be sensitive to pesticides such as diazinon and chlorpyrifos, which have been found to be important factors in the toxicity of stormwater from other watersheds. These tests should include toxicity identification procedures so that potential constituents of concern (e.g., metals and pesticides) can be confirmed and others can be discounted. Toxicant identification is needed to prioritize chemical-specific management actions.

**The fate of stormwater particles must be determined in order to assess seafloor impacts.**

Chemical and oceanographic studies are needed to determine the fate of stormwater particles discharged into Santa Monica Bay. Although some of the particles in Santa Monica Bay stormwater plumes may be deposited near the mouth of an urban watershed, they do not necessarily persist there for long periods of time. Since the spatial extent of particle dispersal in Santa Monica Bay was not determined, there may be areas of significant accumulation that were not investigated. Studies of currents, sediment resuspension, and sediment transport, coupled with chemical source identification methods, should be conducted to determine whether stormwater discharge is a significant source of adverse sediment contamination within Santa Monica Bay. This information is needed to identify areas of the seafloor with the greatest potential for biological impacts from stormwater discharge.

**Additional receiving water systems should be studied to identify impairments from other watersheds.**

The impacts of stormwater runoff on other receiving water systems should also be studied. This is because differences in watershed size and land use patterns will likely result in different levels of risk to the receiving water beneficial uses. For example, changes in land use may contribute different toxicants, and changes in watershed size will influence the magnitude of the toxicant input. The nature of the receiving water environment is also important. Semi-enclosed water bodies, such as most bays and harbors, do not have the mixing and dilution capacity of the open coastal environment studied in Santa Monica Bay. The potential for impairment will be greater in these areas because organisms will have an increased exposure to the stormwater plume and more stormwater particles will settle nearby and influence sediment quality. Until the effects of variations in watershed or receiving water characteristics can be accurately predicted, additional integrated studies will be necessary to assess impacts to receiving waters in other areas.